

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/394,771 09/10/1999		09/10/1999	HIROKAZU MATSUURA	FUJR-16.383	4853	
26304	7590	02/10/2004		EXAMINER		
		ZAVIS ROSENM	HYUN, SOON D			
575 MADIS NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
*				2663		
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			771	MATSUURA, HIROKAZU				
			··· •r	Art Unit				
		Soon-Do		2663				
	- The MAILING DATE of this commu			correspondence address				
Period for	Reply							
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be of stutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 January 200	04.					
		2b)⊠ This action is						
3) 🗌	<u></u>							
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers	•						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)∐ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		٠;						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail [Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	F10/98/00)	6) Other:	Tatent Application (FFO-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/394,771

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/08/2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagoshi et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,379,451) in view of Kumagai (U.S. Patent Number 6,125,138).

Nakagoshi et al (Nakagoshi) discloses a subscriber unit (FIG. 3) comprising :

radio base station detection means (a radio unit I in FIG. 3) for detecting radio waves from base stations;

radio base station extracting means (Comparing and Judging Apparatus 6) for

Application/Control Number: 09/394,771

Art Unit: 2663

extracting radio base stations of which locations is permitted among the detected radio base stations with an area number recognized by the Data identifying Apparatus (3) and stored in Base station information memory 7 (col. 7, lines 16-36) and the memory also stores channel quality associated with the received base station.

However, Nakagoshi fails to explicitly teach the "synchronization control means" for establishing synchroneity with a radio base station of highest reception level.

Kumakai teaches the Synchronous Controller for performing synchronization controls for base stations to prevent a decline in reception sensitivity (col. 2, lines 19-26). One skilled in the art would have been motivated by Kumakai to incorporate synchronization control means into the registration processing unit of Nakagoshi to prevent a decline in reception sensitivity. Hence, when base stations are synchronized, data reception is reliable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Kumakai into Nakagoshi.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 2, and 4-10 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon-Dong Hyun whose telephone number is (703) 305-4550. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 09/394,771

Art Unit: 2663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-5340.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 for formal communications intended for entry with a label of "OFFICIAL" and for informal or draft communications with a label of "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" (attn: Art Unit 2663, Soon-Dong Hyun).

8. Hyun

02/06/2004

Chou To Nfugue

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600